



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
091554,602	7-14-2002	DEGERT	

EXAMINER KISHORE	
ART UNIT 1615	PAPER NUMBER 9

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) G-S. KISHORE (3) DR. LAVERSANNE
(2) Mr. Schulz. (4) _____

Date of interview 6-13-02

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: Claims on record and the proposed claim.

Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art on record, CA patent in particular.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Dr. Laversanne dismissed the

main differences between claimed multilamellar vesicles and of the classical multilamellar vesicles. However,
since CA patent discloses the same product, but lacks the teachings of specific combination of stabilizer and
the active agents, a suggestion was made to recite the claims as product by process claims and recite specific
stabilizer-active agents combination. A determination will be made at that stage whether these changes
will overcome the CA patent. Since the application is under final, any significant amendment.

(A full description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

- ☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

may not be entered for
PTOL-413 (REV. 2-93)

Examiner's Signature

Gottamudi S. Kishore, PhD
Primary Examiner

Group 1600

ORIGINAL FOR INSERTION IN RIGHT HAND FLAP OF FILE WRAPPER

6/13/02

A composition for stabilization of an active agent,
comprising:

a plurality of multilamellar vesicles in the form of a regular stack of concentric bi-layers comprising at least one surfactant, said bi-layers extending from each vesicle core to periphery, and being separated by an interstitial liquid;

a first compound comprising said active agent encapsulated within said vesicles, said active agent being subject to chemical degradation; and

a second compound encapsulated within said vesicles, said second compound being an inhibitor of degradation of said active agent, and being present within said vesicles in an amount sufficient to inhibit degradation of said active agent.